

REMARKS

This paper is in response to the official action of July 19, 2005. Enclosed with this response is a copy of the priority document mailed February 4, 2004, a copy of the Information Disclosure Statement and the return postcard showing that the IDS and priority document (together with other small entity documents filed therewith) were received by the Patent and Trademark Office on February 6, 2004.

Acknowledgement of the allowability of claims 1-14, 28, 29 and 32-35 is appreciated. Claim 27 has been amended to depend from allowable claims 14, and, therefore, should also be allowable.


Rejected claims 15-26, 30 and 31 have been cancelled, without prejudice to filing a continuation application directed to the inventions represented thereby.

New claim 36 is clearly supported in the specification on page 3, lines 1-15.

It is submitted that all remaining claims are of proper form and scope for allowance. Early and favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

By: 

Richard H. Anderson
Reg. No. 26,526
Attorneys for Applicants

October 17, 2005

6300 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357
(312) 474-6300

APPENDIX

Attached:

Copy of Information Disclosure Statement filed 2/4/2004

Copy of Priority Document filed 2/4/2004

Copy of Return postcard acknowledging receipt of the above in the USPTO